



"IN GREEN SHAPE" EVALUATION REPORT

FINAL MEETING IN ABANO TERME, ITALY, JUNE 18-19, 2015

Methodology

17 questionnaires have been submitted by steering committee members and learners.

For the first 6 questions has been given a score between 1 and 10 with supportive comments. All the scores of each question were added and divided by the number of valid answers. The average rate is showed in the table.

To get the final score of the meeting, all the average scores have been added and divided by the total number of questions. The answers with the highest score have been highlighted with green characters, whereas the answers with the poorest score are in red.

The rest of the questions has been open. All the comments has been presented in this report.

Conclusions were made by taking into consideration scores and all comments.

Question	Average
<p>1. To what extent did the meeting reach your expectations?</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It was good to see one of the routes and the surrounding area. - It was good to see one of the routes and the surrounding area. In hindsight it would have been good to have more participation and mixing up the groups to maximise the impact of the shared learning. Perhaps ice breaking activities. - Great program, one day though - The lack of information about final steps of the project. - As expected this was an exchange to learn from others looking to develop similar approaches. 	<p>8,47</p>
<p>2. Evaluate the way in which information was shared during the meeting, the methods that were used e.g.: presentation; group work; discussion, practical workshop.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As I hadn't been before it took me a while to understand who was who, but everyone was very friendly. - It could have maybe been a little more participatory. Involving everyone more. - I would have liked people to be more involved so that they understood the aim of the project better and how far it has come in terms of the end project. - Presentation, individual sharing, individual conversations - Mostly were used presentations, but also there was an opportunity for questions and answers session. - Presentations were interesting and interactive. - I expected more visits to green business examples. - Good presentations and discussions which explain the projects of the different participants. - Pictures tell a thousand words! 	<p>8,06</p>



<p>3. Evaluate the general organisation and administration of the meeting, e.g. information sent in advance, venue, hotel accommodation etc.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very well organised and a great location. - Very well organised.(2) - we were not missing anything along the way of the meeting - The organisation and administration were excellent, because it provided the chance for informal communication and comfort to all participants. - Nice place for meeting. - Perfect organisation, information and accommodation which helped the participants in the meeting to feel comfortable. - Would have scored 10 if into about the walk had been more correct. Risk assessment clearly was not followed and this unfortunately could have been easy a disaster on the night. 	<p>9,53</p>
<p>4. Do you believe this meeting has contributed in a substantial way to the planned progress and sustainability of the project?</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I feel it needed an 'end activity'- whether that was a final meal, a final presentation or similar. An end celebration. - Yes the brochure helps people to get informed - During the meetings it was summed up the project progress on local and project level. Also it was presented the final product, which one of the most important outcomes of the project. - We needed more discussions about final report of the project, dissemination of final products. - It's not clear for me the dissemination of booklet with itineraries. - No so much, because it was the final Presentation - Allows partners to engage directly with each other - network 	<p>8,18</p>
<p>5. After this meeting, evaluate how clear it is to you the final tasks of the project.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -I think it is more clear for people, who attended more transnational meetings. For people, who joined the project in the meeting for the first time it is more difficult. -Wasn't really very involved with this. -Very clear. - The finals tasks have been discussed and clarified. - The presentation of the brochure on behalf of each partner was very good. - We did not understand how we will share the tasks for filing in final report of the project, i.e. which partner and which parts of final report each partner will write. - Final report is not clear. - From my perspective -Difficult to evaluate when you are a project leader. 	<p>8,29</p>



<p>6. How do you evaluate the cooperation among partners? (commitment to the project by each partner, communication among partners, sharing roles and responsibilities and etc.).</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very good- all are committed and it is understood that each partner is busy with other things as well as this project- so the end result is even more special. - Very good- all are committed and it is understood that each partner is busy with other things as well as this project and that peoples organisations have changed and have to prioritise. - The partners' commitment has been crucial to the project success. Issues have been discussed and decisions have been taken with consensus. - When Katina come back to the project, communication among all partners became excellent (while Katina was on maternity leave, the communication with Bulgarian partner was complicated). - Partners know each other for a long time, therefore communication is very friendly and honest. -Some stronger involved the others. 	<p>8,24</p>
<p>Total average score of the meeting</p>	
<p>8.46</p>	

7. Could you list one (or more) item that it is still **not clear** even after the meeting?

No. (4)

All very clear.

Who everyone is and what is their role on the project and partnership. (Particularly those attending for first time).

Nothing - all very clear.

1. The distribution of tasks of filling in final report.

2. How we are going to disseminate our final product.

- How partners will use in the future the booklets with itineraries in different countries?

- Specific Goal of the cooperation.

8. What was the strongest element of the meeting?

-The strongest element of the meeting have been the presentations of all partners.

-The overview of all partner's work.

- The hiking tour and introduction of the route. *Get to know the treasures of culinary in the wild (wild garlic, wild caper etc.).*

- Networking and experiencing other peoples culture.

- Other peoples experiences openly shared.

- The sharing of good practice. The friendship and co-operation.

- The sharing of good practice. The partnership working between the steering group.

- The idea exchange of how to interact with the locals in establishing the green ideas

- The discussion on the project progress throughout the last 2 years and presentation of the final product.

- Presentations of partners about state of the art of the project.

- I could practice my English and speak with Austrian partners in German.

- Communication among partners.



- Communication among partners, good place for meeting with thermal water and various treatments for body.
- Connecting the participants in the meeting, to life share the project and work together to reach final goal of the project.
- Networking

9. What was the **weakest point** of the meeting?

- The weakest point of the meeting was the hiking tour. It was a good idea to give people an insight into the Italian route in real, but to go for a hiking tour for me could be teambuilding. For that it would have been needed to clarify in advance what distance and difficulty the itinerary is like and if everybody could manage it. People need to get options. It would be more successful for a group to bring them together, if everybody could manage.
- The rout was not suitable for everybody. It should be cleared before if everyone is capable to walk for 4 hours. Or give people the possibility to choose some alternative.
- The vegetarian cuisine, especially at the last evening was not very various.
- The walk - would have liked to know more before we started. It was too hot for the distance and didn't really understand what the purpose of it was. I think an English-speaking guide would be good.
- The walk was too long in hot weather. Didn't really understand the point of it and what it was trying to achieve. Wrong footwear!
- On reflection I think it would have been good to have a final celebration of the project with more input from each partner, maybe at the last meal. It felt like it fizzled out rather than ended with a celebratory bang!
- The walk was too long for some people and more risk assessment should have been undertaken. People should have had walking boots and been told that the terrain was uneven and undulating before coming so they could bring appropriate footwear. I think it would have been enough to see parts of the walk- a bit pointless doing it all- or a choice could be given- its not good for participants confidence and self esteem if they are made to feel uncomfortable.
- The walk was too long for many people and a risk assessment should have been undertaken. This was not an all abilities walk and posed a risk to peoples health and safety - people should have been given a choice as to the length they walked and more information about footwear etc. before leaving the hotel.
- n/a
- I expected more visits to best practice cases.
- Cannot remember of something weak.
- Walking
- Wasn't one!

10. What **comments/suggestions** do you have for further cooperation of partners?

- To get on in another project with a similar theme, to aim sustainability. It would be great to share experience and good practice in future.
- Trying to work on similar project and using the results of the past projects - so build up the existing results.
- To go on like in the project! Especially to involve also learning adults in future projects, like it was in the In Green Shape project.
- It was very good - thank you.
- Keep up the good work.
- To keep sharing good practice and stay in touch.



**Education, Research
& Consultancy Center**
Švietimo, tyrimų ir konsultacijų centras



**Lifelong
Learning
Programme**

- To stay in touch. You are all welcome to come to UK for work shadowing anytime!
- Further development of the main project concept since the partnership has proven to be successful and lessons learnt.
- To keep working together.
- We should continue the cooperation among In Green Shape partners in other projects. We are very good team.
- Stronger emphasis as a collect in (End) project
- How do others potentially get involved?

SUMMARY:

- In general the final meeting had reached the expectations of all the partners. Organization and administration of the meeting, especially accommodation and location, were evaluated very well and it was rated with the highest score.
- Cooperation and communication between all the partners was still very honest and friendly, all partners were committed, and all decisions have been taken with consensus. Complications with Bulgarian partners had gone.
- The information shared during the meeting was interesting and there mostly were used presentations, but as well were discussions, individual conversations. Some comments had reflected that it would have been good to have more visits to green business examples and to have a little more involvement of everyone.
- During the meeting the overall project progress was summed up, discussed and clarified the final tasks of the project. However, there are still few issues should be more clarified related to the final report of the meeting and dissemination.
- As the strongest elements in the meeting, the partners have specified the presentations and discussions of the partners about state of the art of the project, as well great communication, sharing ideas and good practices, networking.
- The weakest point of the meeting according to comments was the hiking tour. It has been the idea to give an insight into Italian route in real, but the route for some of participants has been not suitable. It was too long distance in hot weather. Participants have had not enough information before starting the walk and have wished to get a possibility for alternative.
- In Green Shape partners has enjoyed the successful partnership and are very motivated to work together in future, use the existing results and further develop the main project concept.

Prepared by Education, Research & Consultancy Center
September 2015