



In Green Shape



Lifelong
Learning
Programme

Evaluation

UK meeting, October 2-3, 2014

Final evaluation of project

Evaluation of UK meeting



Methodology

- ◆ **11 filled questionnaires** were received.
- ◆ All scores of each question were added and divided by the number of valid answers. The first 6 questions had to be evaluated with max 10 scores and supportive comments, especially, if given score is low. The rest 4 questions are open.
- ◆ The answers with the highest score have been highlighted **in green**, the answers with the poorest score – **in red**.
- ◆ All comments were added under each question.
- ◆ Conclusions were made by taking into consideration scores and all comments.

Unclear things and negative aspects of UK meeting

The meeting in UK had only positive aspects.

No unclear questions.

Positive aspects

The mixture of methods of sharing information made the meeting very interesting. Through partners presentations, visits of itineraries, meetings in GRE office and hotel lobby for discussions about project matters, participation in Wellies project, entrepreneurship workshop with tour in Community Farm Walled Garden – everything helped to understand the project and its idea and aims better.

The balance of theory and exercises was very good.

The most useful thing was ...

... meeting at hotel lobby with designer Julie Thomas.

Another key-activity was very efficient steering committee meeting with a lot of essential discussions in Community Farm. Many issues were clarified, especially regarding maps with itineraries.

Suggestions

- ◆ To have more time for steering committee discussions.
- ◆ To keep talking and communicating among partners, clarify and ask all things, which are still unclear – better to ask than to be confused.
- ◆ To suggest for learners activities while steering committee is holding the meeting.

Final project evaluation

- Testimonials from learners, what they learnt from project, impressions.
- Evaluation of final product not only by learners, but also other interested persons and organizations (strongly recommended by Lithuanian NA).